

1 Harry I. Johnson (State Bar No. 200257)
Cindi L. Pusateri (State Bar No. 216899)
2 JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
3 Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2300
4 Telephone: (213) 489-3939
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
5 E-mail addresses: hijohnson@jonesday.com
cpusateri@jonesday.com

JS-6

6 Matthew W. Lampe (admitted *pro hac vice*)
7 JONES DAY
222 East 41st Street
8 New York, New York 10017-6702
Telephone: (212) 326-3939
9 Facsimile: (212) 755-7306
(not admitted in New York)
10 E-mail address: mwlampe@jonesday.com

11 Theresia M. Moser (admitted *pro hac vice*)
JONES DAY
12 1420 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 800
13 Atlanta, GA 30309-3053
Telephone: (404) 521-3939
14 Facsimile: (404) 581-8330
E-mail address: tmoser@jonesday.com

15 Attorneys for Defendant
16 ASTRAZENECA, LP

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 MARK BRODY, on behalf of
20 himself and others similarly situated,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 ASTRAZENECA
PHARMACEUTICALS LP; and
24 Does 1 to 20, inclusive,

25 Defendant.
26
27
28

Case No. 2:06-CV-06862-ABC
(MANx)

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT
GRANTING DEFENDANT
ASTRAZENECA LP'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1 Defendant AstraZeneca LP's, erroneously sued as AstraZeneca
2 Pharmaceuticals LP, Motion for Summary Judgment came on regularly for hearing
3 on May 12, 2008 at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 680 of the United States District
4 Court, Central District of California, located at 255 East Temple Street, Los
5 Angeles, California, 90012, the Honorable Audrey B. Collins presiding.

6 Having fully considered all of the papers filed in support and opposition to
7 the Motion for Summary Judgment, and argument of counsel, and good cause
8 having been shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES
9 as follows:

10 (1) Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is hereby GRANTED;

11 (2) Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief for Failure to Pay Overtime Under the
12 Fair Labor Standards Act was earlier dismissed with prejudice on May 9, 2007 (See
13 Docket Entry No. 37 "Stipulation and Order");

14 (3) Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief for Failure to Pay Overtime Under
15 California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

16 (4) Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief for Failure to Provide Meal Periods
17 Under California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

18 (5) Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief for Failure to Allow Rest Periods
19 Under California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

20 (6) Plaintiff's Fifth Claim for Relief for Failure to Pay All Wages Earned
21 Each Pay Period Under California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

22 (7) Plaintiff's Sixth Claim for Relief for Failure to Provide Accurate Wage
23 Statements Under California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

24 (8) Plaintiff's Seventh Claim for Relief for Failure to Pay Wages Timely
25 upon Termination Under California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

26 (9) Plaintiff's Eight Claim for Relief for Unfair Competition Under
27 California Law is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

28 (10) Plaintiff's Ninth Claim for Relief for Civil Penalties Under Labor Code

1 Section 2699 is hereby dismissed with prejudice; and

2 (11) The Court GRANTS and ENTERS judgment in favor of Defendant on
3 all of Plaintiff's claims.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED

5
6 Dated: 6/11/08

A. Collins
7 The Honorable Audrey B. Collins
8 District Court Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28